



## EDITORIAL

# A communication hub for a decentralized collaboration on studying real-life cognition [version 1; referees: not peer reviewed]

Michael Hanke<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Yaroslav O. Halchenko<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Center for Behavioral Brain Sciences, Magdeburg, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA

<sup>4</sup>INCF Data-sharing taskforce, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

**v1** First published: 10 Mar 2015, 4:62 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.6229.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6229.1))  
Latest published: 10 Mar 2015, 4:62 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.6229.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6229.1))

## Abstract

Studying the brain's behavior in situations of real-life complexity is crucial for an understanding of brain function as a whole. However, methodological difficulties and a general lack of public resources are hindering scientific progress in this domain. This channel will serve as a communication hub to collect relevant resources and curate knowledge about working paradigms, available resources, and analysis techniques.



This article is included in the [Real-life cognition](#) channel.

## Not Peer Reviewed

This article is an Editorial and has not been subject to external peer review.

## Discuss this article

Comments (0)

**Corresponding author:** Michael Hanke ([michael.hanke@gmail.com](mailto:michael.hanke@gmail.com))

**How to cite this article:** Hanke M and Halchenko YO. **A communication hub for a decentralized collaboration on studying real-life cognition [version 1; referees: not peer reviewed]** *F1000Research* 2015, 4:62 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.6229.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6229.1))

**Copyright:** © 2015 Hanke M and Halchenko YO. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

**Grant information:** This work was in part funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) as part of a US-German collaboration in computational neuroscience (CRCNS), co-funded by the BMBF and the US National Science Foundation (BMBF 01GQ1112; NSF 1129855). Michael Hanke was supported by funds from the German federal state of Saxony-Anhalt, Project: Center for Behavioral Brain Sciences. *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

**Competing interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**First published:** 10 Mar 2015, 4:62 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.6229.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6229.1))

## Editorial

Everyday cognition involves a large variety of concurrent neural processes that handle an incredible amount of sensory inputs in order to generate appropriate responses when interacting with the environment. It can be argued that studying any of these aspects of cognition in isolation, as it is often the case in feature-deprived laboratory experiments, yields an over-simplified or over-specialized understanding of the true nature of brain function. In order to fully understand “how the brain works”, it is essential to study the complex inter-play of cognitive processes in a rich natural environment and go beyond the localization of individual aspects of brain function.

The increasing number of publications focused on multivariate and data-driven analysis of brain network dynamics is a clear indication that researchers embrace this challenge, on both a conceptual and a methodological level. At present, most studies in this domain use the “resting state” paradigm<sup>1</sup>, where spontaneous activity of brains at rest (i.e. not performing any particular uniform task) is recorded. However, this paradigm has limited utility for studying how we process information about the complex environment that surrounds us, as resting-state measurements provide little information about the driving forces behind the observed activity patterns.

Quasi-naturalistic stimulation (e.g. a movie) is the second most used paradigm and enables studies of the dynamics of neural processes across multiple individuals in contexts similar to real life. Movies, as rich, time-locked stimuli, have played a central role in studies on long-term memory performance<sup>2</sup>, functional brain parcellation<sup>3,4</sup>, functional alignment<sup>5</sup>, temporal<sup>6</sup> and multisensory information integration<sup>7</sup>, emotion<sup>8,9</sup>, as well as identification of homologous brain areas across species<sup>10</sup>. While there is some evidence that movie stimuli are a promising approach to study the properties of brain areas that typically exhibit little response modulation in traditional experimental paradigms<sup>11</sup> and that they, in comparison to resting-state data, provide a different perspective on aspects of the functional organization of the brain, such as interregional connectivity<sup>12</sup>, it is unclear to what degree watching movies actually resembles natural viewing conditions<sup>13,14</sup>.

Beyond pre-recorded naturalistic stimuli, virtual reality environments<sup>15</sup> and data acquisition outside the laboratory<sup>16</sup> allow for the observation of brain activity during interaction with a rich environment, as opposed to the more passive processing of sensory inputs. However, with the increasing complexity of the stimulation, it also becomes more difficult to understand which stimulus properties are driving particular patterns of brain activity. Consequently, an in-depth understanding of the nature of a stimulus is of paramount importance for the interpretation of statistical properties of brain activity<sup>17</sup>, and can enable more detailed analysis, even in the context of data-driven methods<sup>18</sup>.

Together, these methodological difficulties, unavoidable confounds, and a comparably large amount of noise are likely the contributing factors to the current state where only a small fraction of the literature is concerned with aspects of complex everyday cognition. In our opinion there are two main challenges that will determine the success of research on real-life cognition in terms of both quality and quantity of scientific output: the availability of adequate datasets and the development and evaluation of analysis methods capable

of disentangling the complex mixture of reflections from multiple concurrent neural processes. In some ways this represents a classic chicken-and-egg problem as the lack of datasets inhibits the development of methods, and the lack of suitable methods makes the collection of adequate datasets a risky and expensive endeavour.

## Share materials, successes, and failures

The purpose of this forum is to cut this Gordian knot by documenting applicable paradigms, available resources, and scientific findings. It aims to serve as a platform for an interdisciplinary exchange of ideas to move us closer to an understanding of the function of the brain as a whole, outside of conventional feature-deprived laboratory settings. Unlike more traditional publication channels, F1000Research offers two major advantages in this regard: a) almost instantaneous publication promises much lower latency for community interactions; b) no threshold on the significance of a report. The latter aspect is especially important, as in any situation of uncertainty it is just as important to know what works as it is to know what is particularly challenging.

Consequently, this channel is open to a wide range of contributions. This includes descriptions of available resources (e.g. natural stimuli in the form of images, sounds, movies, or virtual environments) to study cognition in real-life situations inside and outside the laboratory. Equally important are studies that evaluate the utility of particular analysis methods on these data, such as comparative benchmarks but also (failed) replications of previous studies. We want to put a particular focus on small scale projects that often end up in a lab drawer. With increasing availability of versatile datasets (such as our Forrest Gump movie brain imaging project<sup>19</sup>), we anticipate more and more “feasibility” studies that explore the applicability of natural stimulation paradigms for particular aspects of cognition. We explicitly encourage such publications using the articles types Data Note and Research Note.

We hope that this channel will serve as a hub for a decentralized, inter-disciplinary collaboration that facilitates studies of everyday cognition. Track and contribute to this channel to stay in touch with the latest developments and contributions.

---

## Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

## Grant information

This work was in part funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) as part of a US-German collaboration in computational neuroscience (CRCNS), co-funded by the BMBF and the US National Science Foundation (BMBF 01GQ1112; NSF 1129855). Michael Hanke was supported by funds from the German federal state of Saxony-Anhalt, Project: Center for Behavioral Brain Sciences.

*I confirm that the funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

## Acknowledgements

We thank Alex Waite and Swaroop Guntupalli for their feedback on the manuscript. Additionally, we are grateful to Christian Häusler for his help with literature screening.

## References

1. Biswal B, Yetkin FZ, Haughton VM, *et al.*: **Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar MRI.** *Magn Reson Med.* 1995; **34**(4): 537–541.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
2. Furman O, Dorfman N, Hasson U, *et al.*: **They saw a movie: long-term memory for an extended audiovisual narrative.** *Learn Mem.* 2007; **14**(6): 457–467.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
3. Bartels A, Zeki S: **The chronoarchitecture of the human brain—natural viewing conditions reveal a time-based anatomy of the brain.** *Neuroimage.* 2004; **22**(1): 419–433.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
4. Bartels A, Zeki S: **Functional brain mapping during free viewing of natural scenes.** *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2004; **21**(2): 75–85.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Haxby JV, Guntupalli JS, Connolly AC, *et al.*: **A common, high-dimensional model of the representational space in human ventral temporal cortex.** *Neuron.* 2011; **72**(2): 404–416.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
6. Hasson U, Yang E, Vallines I, *et al.*: **A hierarchy of temporal receptive windows in human cortex.** *J Neurosci.* 2008; **28**(10): 2539–2550.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
7. Luo H, Liu Z, Poeppel D: **Auditory cortex tracks both auditory and visual stimulus dynamics using low-frequency neuronal phase modulation.** *PLoS Biol.* 2010; **8**(8): e1000445.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
8. Nummenmaa L, Glerean E, Viinikainen M, *et al.*: **Emotions promote social interaction by synchronizing brain activity across individuals.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2012; **109**(24): 9599–9604.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
9. Codispoti M, Surcinelli P, Baldaro B: **Watching emotional movies: affective reactions and gender differences.** *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2008; **69**(2): 90–95.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
10. Mantini D, Corbetta M, Romani GL, *et al.*: **Data-driven analysis of analogous brain networks in monkeys and humans during natural vision.** *Neuroimage.* 2012; **63**(3): 1107–1118.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
11. Hasson U, Malach R, Heeger DJ: **Reliability of cortical activity during natural stimulation.** *Trends Cogn Sci.* 2010; **14**(1): 40–48.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
12. Bartels A, Zeki S: **Brain dynamics during natural viewing conditions—a new guide for mapping connectivity *in vivo*.** *Neuroimage.* 2005; **24**(2): 339–349.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
13. Dorr M, Martinetz T, Gegenfurtner KR, *et al.*: **Variability of eye movements when viewing dynamic natural scenes.** *J Vis.* 2010; **10**(10): 1–17.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
14. Smith TJ, Levin D, Cutting JE: **A window on reality: perceiving edited moving images.** *Curr Dir Psychol Sci.* 2012; **21**(2): 107–113.  
[Publisher Full Text](#)
15. Spiers HJ, Maguire EA: **Thoughts, behaviour, and brain dynamics during navigation in the real world.** *Neuroimage.* 2006; **31**(4): 1826–1840.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
16. Aspinall P, Mavros P, Coyne R, *et al.*: **The urban brain: analysing outdoor physical activity with mobile EEG.** *Br J Sports Med.* 2015; **49**(4): 272–276.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Shepherd SV, Steckenfinger SA, Hasson U, *et al.*: **Human-monkey gaze correlations reveal convergent and divergent patterns of movie viewing.** *Curr Biol.* 2010; **20**(7): 649–656.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
18. Lahnakoski JM, Salmi J, Jääskeläinen IP, *et al.*: **Stimulus-related independent component and voxel-wise analysis of human brain activity during free viewing of a feature film.** *PLoS One.* 2012; **7**(4): e35215.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
19. Hanke M, Baumgartner FJ, Ibe P, *et al.*: **A high-resolution 7-Tesla fMRI dataset from complex natural stimulation with an audio movie.** *Sci Data.* 2014; **1**: 140003.  
[Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)